

COPY

Margaret K. Bentley
1420 Daventry Drive
DeSoto, TX 75115

May 21, 2009

Bill Gimson, Executive Director
Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas
211 E. 7th Street, Suite 300
Austin, TX 78701

Dear Mr. Gimson:

On behalf of cancer patients, family members, friends, those concerned about significantly reducing the number of lives lost prematurely to cancer, and those wanting to minimize the pain, suffering and financial impact of treatment of cancer at late stage including over 5,000 petition signers, I want to thank you for agreeing to meet to discuss strategy. Your 35 years in strategic management of the CDC and now as Executive Director of the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas give you the global perspective and experience to be of tremendous assistance in planning and implementing a strategy to bring such a solution to reality and the bed of the patient.

Attached you will find a selection of individual letters which accompanied the multiple deliveries of petition signatures collected since October 2008 to the Director General of CERN.

The petition being circulated urges the people in charge of research and health care like you to arrange for the most competent experts to participate in an open scientific face-to-face discussion with the inventor Dario Crosetto concerning ALL innovations present in his technology that break the limits in High Energy Physics and in Medical Imaging providing over 400 times efficiency improvements with respect to the current over 4,000 PET molecular imaging devices in use today.

A logical progression has led us to make this request:

- Mammogram screening is widely approved since it is believed to save lives.
- Positron Emission Tomography (PET) is more sensitive than mammogram since it works at the molecular level.
- Crosetto's innovative technology is proven to be hundreds of times more efficient than current PET and validated by international scientific panels
- Application to medical imaging has been validated by scientific review and by construction of the innovative sections, demonstrating feasible and proper operation
- This increased efficiency allows:
 - a) early detection of cancer cells to facilitate early treatment that save lives
 - b) reduction of the radiation dose to a safe level administered to the patient
 - c) reduction of examination time and cost
 - d) overall reduction of healthcare cost due to reduced cost per life saved

Citizens demand to know why for more than a decade cancer diagnostic devices with low efficiency continue to be preferred when we could already have a device hundreds of times more

efficient, capable of providing more accurate information to our doctors, and making possible efficient early cancer detection. Why have funds not been provided to implement this new device capable of detecting cancer cells at an early stage? We fully agree with the statement made by a member of the Italian Superior Council of Health at the public review of this innovative technology on June 23, 2008 who stated that it is "criminal" to deny study of the feasibility of a technology that can improve measurement of an object, and we think it is even more "criminal" KNOWING that advantages of improved measurement using the new technology were proven in hardware eight years ago and still other technologies hundreds of times less efficient are preferred while the new technology continues to be ignored and unfunded.

The public is outraged by this situation. Therefore, on behalf of current and potential cancer patients, we ask that you as a responsible person agree to select recognized competent experts and arrange an open scientific discussion with the inventor so he can present ALL innovations present in his technology. In the case of such innovative technology, it is most effective for the inventor to present in such a face-to-face meeting so questions can be answered and any objections answered.

Without addressing ALL the innovations at once and resolving questions immediately, we will continue to have only incremental improvement in cancer diagnosis instead of the revolutionary impact achievable by implementing the totality of the innovations proposed.

Numerous documents can be found at www.crosettofoundation.com which reveal the most important aspects of this vital issue and the outrageous barriers to its implementation.

Ms. Vanna Sereno in Italy and I in the United States are doing all we can, contacting people around the world, to assist in informing the public, scientists, health care providers, governmental officials, news media and cancer and health organizations of this situation. We work to support this effort and the efforts of Dario Crosetto and the educational Crosetto Foundation for the Reduction of Cancer Deaths, strictly on a voluntary basis with no budget. Ours is truly a grass roots effort.

Mr. Gimson, will you assist the citizens of Texas and beyond by working with us to develop a strategy to identify competent experts willing to participate in such an open scientific discussion? We believe that this would be a landmark summit worthy of you and the Institute which will once again bring Texas and the US to the forefront of scientific and health technology innovation.

Sincerely on behalf of all those concerned,

Margaret K. Bentley

Attachments:

Representative individual letters from cancer survivors

A summary of our correspondence with CERN scientists, Nobel Laureates and decision makers
www.crosettofoundation.com/uploads/286.pdf

Response to Ferrini: www.crosettofoundation.com/uploads.281.pdf